

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,292	08/27/2003	Scott Jacobs	130128 6679	
75	90 03/22/2006		EXAM	INER
Law Offices of John S. Munday PO BOX 423			LEWIS, RALPH A	
Isanti, MN 55040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,292	JACOBS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attacher out (a)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/650,292

Art Unit: 3732

Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginsburg et al (US 4,413,979) in view of Jacobs et al (US 5,562,449).

Ginsburg et al disclose a dental tray for treating a patient's teeth comprised of a planar portion 12 for holding a treating material. The Ginsburg et al dental tray has an inner edge 16 and outer edge 18 (Figure 4). The inner edge 16 is a foldable portion and includes a groove 22 formed at the junction between to the planar portion and the inner edge 16 for guiding the folding. The outer edge 18 is folded to form a vertical wall with respect to the planar portion 12. In regard to claim 3, note the raised edge adjacent 22 that forms a "bead" structure. The Ginsburg et al dental tray meets all the limitations of the independent claims 1 and 7, with the exception of the "U-shaped" configuration. Ginsburg et al discloses only half a U-shape. Jacobs et al, however, teaches that such dental trays commonly come in full u-shapes so that the entire upper or lower jaw may be treated. To have extended the Ginsburg et al tray to extend to a full U-shape so that an entire jaw of the patient may be treated would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In regard to the EVA limitations of claims 6 and 12, Ginsburg et al

Art Unit: 3732

disclose that the dental tray is thermoplastic that is softened by heat and then molded into its desired form, but does not disclose any particular thermoplastic material (column 3, lines 4-20). Jacobs et al teach the conventional use of the thermoplastic material EVA for such dental trays. To have selected conventional EVA for the thermoplastic material of Ginsburg et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the teaching by Jacobs et al.

Proposed amendment

The examiner proposes that claim 1, lines 8-10, be further amended as "a single outer vertical wall [formed] <u>fixed in position</u> on the outer edge of said planar portion to confront the front or outer surfaces of the patient's teeth" in order to clearly distinguish the invention from Ginsburg et al where the outer wall member folds like the inner wall member. Such an amendment and a similar amendment made to claim 7 would be considered in an after-final response.

Action Made Final

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3732

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ralph Lewis at telephone number (571) 272-4712. Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720.

R.Lewis March 20, 2006

Ralph A. Lewis **Primary Examiner** Ku3732